United States District Court

| | Northern District of Indiana Hammond Division | 2014 APR -4 AM 10: 14 |
|--|---|--|
| David AS | $\frac{\text{cott Jr}}{\text{Plaintiff(s)}}$,) | FOR THE WHITHERN HISTRICT LE CF VIOLANA |
| Lear Corporati Larry Payne, Ba Ryan Brucks | Case No: rbang Sacha; Defendant(s)) | 2:14cv 107 |
| E | MPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION CO | MPLAINT |
| Plaintiff bring for discrimination as s | s a complaint against defendant <u>Lear (</u> set forth below. | Corporation |
| Plaintiff V | DOES DOES NOT (indicate which | h) demand a jury trial. |
| | I. PARTIES | |
| Plaintiff's Name: | David A Scott In | |
| Plaintiff's Address: | 3419 Indiana Harbor | <u>Dr. Apt</u> 207 |
| | East Chicago In 46312 | <u> </u> |
| (H) | (c) _c | |
| | (c) (219) 397-1109 (c) Ryan Bruckner | |
| Defendant's Name: | Lear Corp, Darrell Harper, Larr Po | zyne Jr, Barbara Sacha |
| Defendant's Address: | 1401 165th Street Hamm | ond In 46320 |
| | | |
| | 21557 Telegraph Rd. | South field MT 4803 |

II. BASIS OF CLAIM AND JURISDICTION

This complaint is brought pursuant to: (Check one)

1.

| | | Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e-5), and jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343(a); |
|------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | The Age Discrimination in Employment Act (29 U.S.C. §621), and jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343(a); |
| | <u> </u> | The Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §12101), and jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343(a); |
| | | The Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. §701, et seq.), and jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343(a); |
| | | Equal rights under law (42 U.S.C. §1981), and jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343(a); |
| 2. | Plainti with the Comm | Other (list): Wrongful termination, Sex discrimination Retardian and harassment ff DID DID NOT (indicate which) file a charge of discrimination the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission or the Indiana Civil Rights the plaintiff did file a charge of discrimination, Plaintiff should a copy of the charge to the complaint]. |
| 3. | the Ind (insert date st | ff's Right to Sue Notice from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission or liana Civil Rights Commission was RECEIVED on or about 3-22-2014 date the plaintiff received the notice – in most instances this will not be the same amped on the notice). [Plaintiff should attach a copy of the Notice of Right to this Complaint]. |
| | | III. STATEMENT OF LEGAL CLAIM |
| additio | Plainti nal pag | ff is entitled to relief in this action because (if more space is needed, attach es): The defendants violated my rights |
| I r Let | vas | edly and recklessly only to cause harm. discriminated against because of my |
| <u>sex</u> | an | d disability. I reported the bad acts |

USDC IN/ND calle FACTS-DN SUPPRORTOOT (COMPINION) 14/04/14 page 3 of 9

| The facts on which this complaint is based are the following (if you need additional space, please attach additional pages): Sex discrimination, American |
|--|
| disability act, Retaliation, Harassment |
| and Wrongful termination are the facts my |
| complaint is based on. |
| 1) Sex discimination because Lean's management |
| team treated females better than males by giving |
| IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF |
| Based on the foregoing, plaintiff seeks the following relief: Lost wages and prejudgment interest (all statutes Liquidated/double damages (Title VII and ADA cases Involving intentional discrimination) Punitive damages (Title VII and ADA cases in which the employer acts with reckless disregard of the federally protected rights of the individual) Compensatory clamages |
| |
| VI. AFFIRMATION OF PLAINTIFF |
| I, <u>David A Scott Tr</u> , the plaintiff in the aforementioned cause, do affirm that I have read all of the statements contained in the complaint and those which are attached in the accompanying financial statement. I believe them to be, to the best of my personal knowledge, true and correct. |
| Further, I do understand that this complaint and this affidavit will become and official part of the United States District Court files and that ANY FALSE STATEMENTS knowingly made by me are illegal and may subject me to criminal penalties. David Alcott |

0

III. to my supervisor, human resources, union and the Corporate office but matters only got worse. Since I reported the bad acts that was happening to me the management team retaliated even harder and the harassment started to be every day. I'm entitled to relief because the defendants committed sex discrimin-

ation, violated my rights under the ADA act and

wouldn't allow me to work because of my disability restriction and wrongfully terminated me for

Them better jobs, proper medical treatment, work restrictions while disable, job rotation, bath room and water breaks and not being written up or terminated for committing the same acts as males. Lears management team of supervisors and formans are all males and they use their position to get sexual acts from the females.

reporting all their bad act.

American Disability Act because Lear's team of supervisors, formans and Human Resources was fully aware of my work related injuries which made me disable to use my right arm and was placed on a permanent light duty and could only work 8 hours a day by the quide lines from the FCE test and the US Dept of Labor Physical Demand Level. I was injured in 2011 at work and was placed on permanent light duty

but I was allowed to work. The defendants violated my disability and my restrictions repeatedly but in 2012 when I was injured at work due to their continuous bad acts and lies they put me on a job that was not light duty so they tried to cover the injuries up and deny me medical treatment. The defendants didn't stop there they wouldn't allow me to work because a doctor put me on work restriction and their policy don't allow employees who are being treated by doctors who is not a company doctor or have a personal injury may not return to work unless he or she has no restrictions and able to work full duty. Well being that I was injured at work and it was a pending workers comp claim and my restrictions were the same as my 2011 injury and I was allowed to work and my restriction was permanent" light duty the defendants clearly violated my rights under the ADA act only to cause harm and in retaliation of me reporting their bad acts. I was denied FMLA, Workers Comp and proper medical treatment to finally be approved for a IME that proves I was injured and my injuries were work related to still be denied medical care or benefits in a timely manner.

but defendants violated my restrictions repeatedly and recklessly which caused more injuries and refusal for medical treatment. The defendants continued their bad act by forcing me to work over the 8 hour a day requirements allowed for my perminate light duty restriction. The defendants break their own policies and violate safety rules while trying to cause harm. The defendants also refused me work because of my disability and being on or having a restriction from a work related accident. The defendants tried to cover up the work related injuries that was pending threw workers comp by placing me on medical leave and refusing to give me or send the proper forms so the doctor cauld fill out.

Wrongful termination because while the defendants tried to cover up all their bad acts and didn't want any one to know that they put me on a job that was not light duty and I was injured again, they tried to hide the facts. Since the defendants refused to allow me to work they later came up with I violated the medical leave policy and terminated my employment while my pending workers comp claim with a IME doctor determined that I was injured and I needed further treatment. The defendants had their company doctors lie, misdiagnosis, and change medical notes only to cheat injured employees and commit fraud to save the company money.

Un april 23, 2013 the defendants wrong fully terminated the plaintiff because of medical leave that they put me on because of a pending work related injury and wouldn't allow me to return to work under their policy so a grievance was filed on my behalf on the 24th of April 2013 but no steps or meetings were held for 320 day and no contact to myself but straight to mediation and a denial of my grievance and being told that there no other steps after mediation except to agree to this 4,000 settlement agreement and drop all pending charges I have against the defendants and the union. My grievance was for being terminated for medical leave and didn't have anything to do with my other complaints or charges but defendants still tried to bribe me because they knew of my medical condition and myself not being employeed.

David Docto

EEOC FORM 161 (11/09) USDC IN/ND CO.SE. 2:14-CEMPLOYMENT OFFORTUNITY COMMISSION page 8 of 9

| | | DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--------------|--|----------------|
| | 3419 Apt. 2 | Scott Indiana Harbor Dr. 207 Chicago, IN 46312 | | From: | Indianapolis District 101 West Ohio St Suite 1900 Indianapolis, IN 4620 | |
| | | • | n(s) aggrieved whose identity is 29 CFR §1601.7(a)) | | | |
| EEOC Charge No. EEOC Representative Telephone No. | | | | | Telephone No. | |
| | | | Michelle D. Ware, | | | |
| 470-2 | 2013-0 |)2513 | Enforcement Supervisor | | | (317) 226-5161 |
| THE | EEOC | IS CLOSING ITS FILE | ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE | FOLLO | WING REASON: | |
| | | The facts alleged in the cha | arge fail to state a claim under an | y of the s | tatutes enforced by the E | EOC. |
| | Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act. | | | | | |
| | | The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes. | | | | |
| | | Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge | | | | |
| | The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge. | | | | | |
| | | The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge. | | | | |
| | | Other (briefly state) | | | | |
| | | | - NOTICE OF SUIT | | _ | |
| Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.) | | | | | | |
| Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible. | | | | | | |
| | | | On behalf of | the Comi | nission (| MAR 1 9 2014 |
| Enclos | sures(s) | | Webster N. S Directo | • | J | (Data Mailed) |
| cc: | c/o Bo Su 20° | ar Corporation Christopher P. Mazzoli Idman PLC ite 500 I W Big Beaver Road by, MI 48084 | | | | |

| USDC IN/ND case 2:14-cv-00107-RL d CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION | locumient 1 Charge | filed 04/04/14 Presented To: | oage 9 of 9 gency(les) Charge No(s): | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974 See enclosed Privacy Act | | FEPA | | | | |
| Statement and other information before completing this form. | X | EEOC | 470-2013-02513 | | | |
| Indiana Civil Rights Commission and EEOC | | | | | | |
| State or local Age Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) | ency, if any | Home Phone (Incl. Area C | Code) Date of Birth | | | |
| Mr. David Scott | ļ | (219) 397-110 | , | | | |
| | and ZIP Code | (= 13) | | | | |
| 3419 Indiana Harbor Dr., Apt. 207, East Chicago, IN 463 | 312 | | | | | |
| Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticesh Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULAR) | nip Committee, or S S below.) | tate or Local Governmen | nt Agency That I Believe | | | |
| Name | | No. Employees, Members | Phone No. (Include Area Code) | | | |
| LEAR CORPORATION | | 500 or More | (219) 853-8145 | | | |
| | and ZIP Code | | See . | | | |
| 1401 165 th Street Hammo | ond, IN 46320 | QD (- | | | | |
| Name | | No. Employees, Members | Phone No. (Include Area Code) | | | |
| Street Address City, State | and ZIP Code | (%) 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |)> 139 | | | |
| DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).) | | DATE(S) DISCR | IMINATION TOOK PLACE | | | |
| DISCRIMINATION SECSON (CITECH Appropriate SOXIES). | _ | Earliest | Latest | | | |
| RACE COLOR X SEX RELIGION | NATIONAL ORIGI | 08-30-20 | 04-23-2013 | | | |
| X RETALIATION AGE X DISABILITY GE | ENETIC INFORMATIO | | CONTINUING ACTION | | | |
| THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)): | | <u> </u> | _ | | | |
| I had been employed by Lear Corporation since October | | • | | | | |
| Production Assembler. During my period of employment | | | • | | | |
| work light duty assignments. Respondent, however, deni to place me on jobs that would further exacerbate my me | | | | | | |
| from employment because I complained to management | | • - | • | | | |
| afforded female employees with injuries/medical condition | • | | - | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Based upon all of the above, I believe I have been discriminated against in violation of the Americans with | | | | | | |
| Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended. I further believe I have been discriminated against because of my sex, and retaliated against because I made protected complaints, all in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act | | | | | | |
| of 1964, as amended. | | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. | NOTARY – When n | ecessary for State and Loc | al Agency Requirements | | | |
| will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their | | | - • • | | | |
| procedures. | | | ve charge and that it is true to | | | |
| I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. | the best of my kn SIGNATURE OF CO | owledge, information an OMPLAINANT | nd belief. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 18-11-2013 / Naud 1 Scall | SUBSCRIBED AND (month, day, year) | SWORN TO BEFORE ME | THIS DATE | | | |
| Date Charging Party Signature | | | | | | |

-- E ((4.500)